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#### Abstract

The development of Science has an impact on rapid technological advances, including transportation. One alternative that is often used and becomes public transportation is the train. This study aims to increase students' insight into the mechanisms that occur on trains, especially when the train is moving and braking will cause a comparison of speed to distance and the frictional force that occurs as a result of the wheels and rails touching. The direct method by observing and taking data directly is the method used in this study. What is observed is the initial and final speed that occurs when the train is braking or moving compared to the required travel time. In addition, when calculating the friction force, what is needed is the mass of the train with the coefficient of friction. In the study, the acceleration and braking distance of the train movement was obtained. The formula accepts the distance for uniformly changing straight motion, which means that the braking distance is about 300 m to 400 m so that it stops right at the station. Meanwhile, the distance travelled by train is about 250 m to 350 m from the departure at the station. Other things obtained are the magnitude of the static frictional force of approximately 3456000 N and the kinetic friction of about 422400 N . The distance travelled by train to stop precisely from one station to another is called the braking distance. The distance travelled by train to move at a constant speed after stopping is the definition of the movement distance. In addition, the train also has a regular average rate of around 70 to $75 \mathrm{~km} /$ hour. It is very closely related when the acceleration that occurs on the train is getting bigger, it will affect the braking distance, and the movement is gaining closer. However, it was also found that if the frictional force between the wheels and the rail bearings is considerable, it can stop the train right at the station.
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## INTRODUCTION

The development of Science has an impact on rapid technological advances, including transportation. One alternative often used and becomes public transportation for the community is the train[1]. The train is one of the most reliable modes of land transportation because it has advantages in travel time, comfort, and safety for passengers during the trip[2]. The train is a type of land vehicle that can transport goods and people to move from one place to another[2]. The train is also a reasonably fast transportation mode, practical, cheap, and has unique access, namely rail [3].

In Indonesia, the development of railways is also always considered. Initially dominated by coal or other fossil raw materials, the train now uses electricity, which is its primary source of
power. These trains are commonly referred to as Rail Trains or Electric Rail Trains (KRL). Rail carriage is a means of rail transportation that moves with its own traction/power and transport people or passengers. Railroad trains do not need towing (locomotives) to proceed. On both muzzles, there is a control room for the driver. The train power is obtained from diesel or electricity [4].

So many efforts have been made to continuously improve the safety and comfort of passengers when boarding the train. One of the train's efforts to improve its services is to improve the safety system, especially the braking system and its supporting spare parts. The brake block is an extra part of the braking system, a consumable material requiring regular replacement[4].
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The brake block also has the primary function as a braking system for trains, namely:

- To slow down the train
- To stop the train
- Holding the train in a stationary state at the station[5].
From the above function, the braking system is a vital component for the entire train system, so the brake block components must always be checked and must be replaced frequently if they are no longer suitable for use. [6].

If we pay close attention, the working system of the train is related to physics. An example that we can take is the uniformly changing straight motion that occurs in the train's movement. In addition to using the working principle of uniformly changing linear motion, the train also uses the working principle of friction in stopping and moving the train.

Force is a pull and push given to an object to change position or position (moves) and shape. In addition, the force can also be interpreted as a pull or push exerted by an object against another object[7]. Force has many branches, one of which is friction[8]. Friction is one of the essential topics in studying kinematics material[9]. Kinematics is the science that deals with motion without considering the causes of movement [10]. The coefficient of friction of the wheels and rails is one of the most critical parameters in the braking process.

Coefficient friction is obtained from the ratio of the tangential (braking) force to the normal force at the wheel and rail contacts[11]. In our daily activities, without realizing it, we have applied the concept of kinematics, one of which is the train's speed. By understanding the concept of friction, the physics theories learned will feel more realistic. In general, many ideas in physics are presented with assumptions without friction, and this is not following everyday experience, for example, in the event of free-fall motion, piston movement, and simple pendulum oscillations. So that with the
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concept of friction, it is hoped that no one will think that the laws of physics only apply to an ideal world in the laboratory. Furthermore, it also aims to minimize the opinion that the knowledge learned in school is abstract and far from real life; friction is divided into static friction and kinetic friction.[12].

## RESEARCH METHODS

This study aimed to determine the comparison between the speed of the braking distance and the movement of the train. The research time was carried out from February to June 2013, and the place was at PT. KAI at Jakarta Kota Station to Bogor.

From the analysis that I took at the research time, the research method used is the direct method by observing and taking data directly on the train. In this study, observations were made of the initial and final speeds that occur when braking the train and the time is taken when the train moves. Not only that, to use the frictional force that appears on the wheels and rail bearings, it takes the mass of the train series with the coefficient of friction on the railroad tracks.

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

From the research conducted, it was found that:

## 1. The results of the braking distance

 and movement are as follows:
## a. Cross Bogor - Jakarta City

Table 1.Braking Distance of Trains Cross Bogor Jakarta Kota

| Stasiun | Kecepatan <br> Awal (m/s) | Kecepatan <br> Akhir (m/s) | Waktu (s) | $\begin{gathered} \text { Percepatan } \\ \left(\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{s}^{2}\right) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{c\|} \hline \text { Jarak } \\ \text { Pengereman (m) } \end{array}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bogor | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Cilebut | 20,83 | 0 | 45 | -0,46 | 471,6 |
| Bojong Gede | 19,44 | 0 | 40 | -0,48 | 393,6 |
| Citayem | 20,83 | 0 | 30 | -0,69 | 314,4 |
| Depok | 19,44 | 0 | 36 | -0,54 | 349,92 |
| Depok Baru | 19,44 | 0 | 33 | -0,59 | 320,27 |
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| Pondok Cina | 20,83 | 0 | 30 | $-0,69$ | 314,4 |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Univ. UI | 20,83 | 0 | 28 | $-0,74$ | 293,16 |
| Univ. Pancasila | 20,83 | 0 | 25 | $-0,83$ | 261,37 |
| Lenteng Agung | 19,44 | 0 | 31 | $-0,62$ | 304,7 |
| Tanjung Barat | 19,44 | 0 | 33 | $-0,58$ | 325,71 |
| Ps. Minggu | 20,83 | 0 | 40 | $-0,52$ | 417,2 |
| Ps. Minggu Baru | 19,44 | 0 | 32 | $-0,61$ | 309,76 |
| Duren Kalibata | 19,44 | 0 | 36 | $-0,52$ | 394,92 |
| Cawang | 19,44 | 0 | 30 | $-0,64$ | 295,2 |
| Tebet | 20,83 | 0 | 31 | $-0,67$ | 323,79 |
| Manggarai | 20,83 | 0 | 30 | $-0,69$ | 314,4 |
| Cikini | 19,44 | 0 | 33 | $-0,58$ | 325,7 |
| Gondangdia | 19,44 | 0 | 34 | $-0,57$ | 311,5 |
| Gambir | 20,83 | 13,88 | 26 | $-0,26$ | -- |
| Juanda | 19,44 | 0 | 36 | $-0,52$ | 394,92 |
| Sawah Besar | 19,44 | 0 | 30 | $-0,69$ | 314,4 |
| Mangga Bsar | 19,44 | 0 | 40 | $-0,48$ | 388,4 |
| Jayakarta | 19,44 | 0 | 23 | $-0,84$ | 224,9 |
| Jakarta Kota | 19,44 | 0 | 40 | $-0,48$ | 388,4 |

From table 1, it is found that the braking of the train carried out on the Bogor to Jakarta Kota route experienced a deceleration of abc $0.46 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s} 2$ to $0.84 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s} 2$ until the train stopped the station in front of it. Meanwhile, when goi: through Gambir, the train slowed down to 0 .. $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{s}$ but did not stop at that station and continu to the next station. Meanwhile, the braki distance from around 224.9 m to 394.92 m rig at the destination station. For the speed us when driving, the commuter line covers abc $70 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$.

Table 2. Braking Distance of Trains Cross Bogor Jakarta Kota

| Stasiun | Kecepatan <br> Awal (m/s) | Kecepatan <br> Akhir $(\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{s})$ | Waktu (s) | Percepatan <br> $\left(\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{s}^{2}\right)$ | Jarak P. <br> $($ |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Bogor | 0 | 20,83 | 30 | 0,69 | 310,5 |
| Cilebut | 0 | 19,44 | 40 | 0,48 | 384 |
| Bojong Gede | 0 | 20,83 | 35 | 0,59 | 361,4 |
| Citayem | 0 | 19,44 | 45 | 0,43 | 437,4 |
| Depok | 0 | 19,44 | 30 | 0,65 | 292,5 |
| Depok Baru | 0 | 20,83 | 30 | 0,69 | 310,5 |
| Pondok Cina | 0 | 20,83 | 25 | 0,83 | 259,3 |
| Univ. UI | 0 | 20,83 | 30 | 0,69 | 310,5 |
| Univ. Pancasila | 0 | 19,44 | 27 | 0,72 | 262,4 |
| Lenteng Agung | 0 | 19,44 | 27 | 0,72 | 262,4 |
| Tanjung Barat | 0 | 20,83 | 25 | 0,83 | 259,3 |
| Ps. Minggu | 0 | 19,44 | 30 | 0,64 | 288 |
| Ps. Minggu Baru | 0 | 19,44 | 32 | 0,61 | 312,3 |
| Duren Kalibata | 0 | 19,44 | 35 | 0,55 | 336,87 |
| Cawang | 0 | 20,83 | 30 | 0,69 | 310,5 |
| Tebet | 0 | 20,83 | 32 | 0,65 | 332,8 |
| Manggarai | 0 | 19,44 | 31 | 0,62 | 297,2 |
| Cikini | 0 | 19,44 | 29 | 0,67 | 281,7 |
| Gondangdia | 0 | 20,83 | 25 | 0,83 | 259,3 |
| Gambir | 13,88 | 19,44 | 20 | 0,27 | --- |
| Juanda | 0 | 19,44 | 25 | 0,77 | 243,1 |
| Sawah Besar | 0 | 19,44 | 20 | 0,96 | 192,8 |
| Mangga Besar | 0 | 19,44 | 30 | 0,64 | 291,6 |
| Jayakarta | 0 | 19,44 | 35 | 0,55 | 336,87 |
| Jakarta Kota | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

From table 2, it is found that the movement of the train carried out on the Bogor to Jakarta Kota route has an acceleration of about 0.43 $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{s} 2$ to $0.96 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s} 2$ until the train stops right at the station in front of it. Meanwhile, when it has passed Gambir station, the train accelerates up to $0.27 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}$ to the next station. Meanwhile, the movement distance from around 192.8 m to 437.4 m right at the destination station. For the speed used when travelling, the commuter line covers about $70 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ to $75 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$.

## b. Cross Jakarta City-Bogor

Table 3. Braking Distance of Trains across Jakarta
City - Bogor

| Stasiun | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { Kecepafaa } \\ \text { Awal (m's) } \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Kecepataz } \\ & \text { Ahhir (m/s) } \end{aligned}$ | Waktu (s) | Peroepatan <br> ( $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{s}^{*}$ ) | Jarak Pengereman (m) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Jakarta Kola | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Jayakarta | 19,44 | 0 | 39 | -0,49 | 38552 |
| Mangga Besar | 18,05 | 0 | 30 | -0,60 | 2715 |
| Samah Besar | 19,44 | 0 | 32 | -0,61 | 305,76 |
| Juanda | 19,44 | 0 | 35 | -0,55 | 343,6 |
| Gambir | $20 \times 3$ | 12.5 | 30 | -0,27 | -- |
| Trockingda | 19,44 | 0 | 31 | -0,62 | 304,73 |
| Cikini | 19,44 | 0 | 36 | -0,54 | 349,92 |
| Manggarai | 19,44 | 0 | 32 | -0,61 | 305,76 |
| Tebet | 18,05 | 0 | 30 | -0,002 | 2706 |
| Cawarg | 19,44 | 0 | 33 | -0,58 | 325,71 |
| Duren Kalibata | 20,83 | 0 | 30 | -0,69 | 314,4 |
| Ps. Minggu Haru | 19,44 | 0 | 37 | -0,52 | 36314 |
| Pr. Minggu | 16,65 | 0 | 35 | -0,47 | 292,3 |
| Tumjung Harat | 20,83 | 0 | 39 | -0,53 | 405] 3 |
| L.enteng Agung | 19,44 | 0 | 34 | -0,57 | 3515 |
| Univ. Pancasila | 19,44 | 0 | 33 | -0,59 | 320027 |
| Laiv. Indooesia | 20,83 | 0 | 30 | -0,69 | 314.4 |
| Pondok Cim | 19,44 | 0 | 32 | -0,61 | 3 305,76 |
| Depok Raru | 20,83 | 0 | 30 | -0,69 | 314.4 |
| Depok | 19,44 | 0 | 34 | -0,57 | 3315 |
| Citayem | 20.83 | 0 | 36 | -0,58 | 37404 |
| Hojong Gede | 20,83 | 0 | 37 | -0,56 | 38139 |
| Cilebut | 19,44 | 0 | 40 | -0,48 | 39156 |
| Bogor | 20,83 | 0 | 45 | -0,46 | 471,6 |

From table 3, it is found that the braking of the train carried out on the Jakarta Kota to Bogor route experienced a slowdown of about $0.46 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s} 2$ to $0.69 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s} 2$ until the train stopped at the station in front of it. Meanwhile, when going through Gambir, the train slowed down to 0.27 $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{s}$ but did not stop at that station and continued on to the next station. Meanwhile, the braking
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distance that occurs is around 270.6 m to 393.6 m right at the destination station. For the speed used when travelling, the commuter line covers about $70 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ to $75 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$.

Tabel 4. Distance of Train Movement across Jakarta City - Bogor

| Stasiun | Kecepata <br> Awal (m/s) | Kecepataz <br> Ahhir ( $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{s}$ ) | Waktu (s) | $\begin{gathered} \text { Percepatain } \\ \text { (mins') } \end{gathered}$ | Jarak Pergerakan <br> (m) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Jakarta Kota | 0 | 19,44 | 45 | 0.43 | 435,37 |
| Jayataria | 0 | 18,00 | 40 | 0,45 | 300 |
| Mangga Besar | 0 | 19,44 | 29 | 0,67 | 281,73 |
| Sawah Besar | 0 | 19,44 | 30 | 0,64 | 208 |
| Jumida | 0 | 20,83 | 30 | 0,09 | 3105 |
| Giambir | 12.5 | 19,44 | 32 | 0,2! | -- |
| Gondangota | 0 | 19,44 | 35 | 0.55 | 336,81 |
| Cikini | 0 | 19,44 | 33 | 0.58 | 315,81 |
| Manggarai | 0 | 18,00 | ${ }^{37}$ | 0,48 | $32 \times 36$ |
| Tebet | 0 | 19,44 | 33 | 0.58 | 315,81 |
| Cawang | 0 | 20.83 | 34 | 0.61 | 35258 |
| Duren Kalibata | 0 | 19,44 | 35 | 0.55 | 336,87 |
| Ps. Minggu Baru | 0 | 16,66 | 33 | 050 | 2725 |
| P. Minggu | 0 | $20 \times 83$ | 35 | 0.59 | 361,37 |
| Tanjung Barat | 0 | 19,44 | 30 | 0,65 | 2925 |


| Lenteng Agung | 0 | 19,44 | ${ }^{38}$ | 0,51 | 368,22 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Univ. Pancasila | 0 | $20 \times 3$ | ${ }^{37}$ | 0.56 | 38352 |
| Univ. Exdocesia | 0 | 19,44 | 34 | 0.57 | 329,46 |
| Pondok Cima | 0 | 20,83 | 35 | 0.59 | 361,37 |
| Depok Baru | 0 | 19,44 | 31 | 0,62 | 291,91 |
| Depok | 0 | $20 \times 3$ | ${ }^{38}$ | 0.54 | 385888 |
| Citayem | 0 | $20 \times 3$ | 37 | 0.56 | 38312 |
| Bojang trede | 0 | 19,44 | 39 | 0.49 | 37264 |
| Clichut | 0 | 20.83 | ${ }^{39}$ | 053 | 40306 |
| Bogar | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

From table 4, it is found that the movement of trains carried out on the Jakarta Kota to Bogor route has an acceleration of about 0.43 $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{s} 2$ to $0.69 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s} 2$ until the train stops right at the station in front of it. Meanwhile, the train accelerates up to $0.21 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}$ to the next station when it has passed through Gambir. Meanwhile, the braking distance that occurs is around 281.73 m to 435.37 m , right at the destination station. For the speed used when travelling, the commuter line crosses about $70 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}$.

## 2. The result of the frictional force of the train wheels against the train bearings:
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Table 5. Static and Kinetic Friction

| Jenis Gaya Gesek | Massa | Grafitasi | Koefisien Geselk | Gaya Gesek |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Gesek Statis | 48000 kg | $10 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}^{2}$ | 0,72 | 345600 N |
| Gesek Kinetik | 48000 kg | $10 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}^{2}$ | 0,88 | 422400 N |

Based on table 5, the coefficient of static friction is 0.72 , and the coefficient of kinetic friction is 0.88 .

## CONCLUSION

From the research conducted, it can be concluded that braking distance is the distance travelled by train to stop right at the station and the movement distance is the distance travelled by train to be able to move at a constant speed after stopping. The train speed is consistent from one station to another, around 70 to 75 $\mathrm{km} /$ hour. If the acceleration that occurs is more significant, the distance, both braking and movement are getting closer. In the results of the study, it was shown that at the Gambir station, the train only passed through the station. Because the station is usually used for trains that want to cross Jakarta City to Bogor, and it was also observed that the friction between the wheels and the rails was so great that they could stop the train right at the station.
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