Volume 4 (1), 2020

http://journal.uhamka.ac.id/index.php/agregat

p-ISSN: 2549-5658 e-ISSN: 2549-7243 DOI: 10.22236/agregat_vol4/is1pp1-7

Pp 1-7

BOOK TAX DIFFERENCES, DEBT LEVELS, AND LIQUIDITY INFLUENCE EARNINGS PERSISTENCE OF COMPANIES

Zulpahmi¹,Sumardi², Rynzani Ayustika Putri³

¹²³Universitas Muhammadiyah Prof. DR HAMKA Corresponding author: ¹zulpahmi@uhamka.ac.id, ²sumardi@uhamka.ac.id, ³rynzaniayustikaputri@gmail.com

Article Info: Received: January 15, 2020; Revised: February 16, 2020; Accepted: February 30, 2020.

Abstract: This study aims to analyze and find out whether book-tax differences, debt levels, and liquidity have a significant influence on earning persistence between 2013 and 2017. The population in this study was 16 companies. The research sample involved six companies, which was determined by purposive sampling method. The present study was quantitative, meaning SPSS 25, upon its data analysis. Based on data analysis, the results show that book-tax differences do not affect earnings persistence. Debt levels have a significant adverse effect on earnings persistence. The findings also point out that liquidity has a significant negative impact on earnings persistence.

Keywords: Tax, Data, Companies

Abstrak: Tujuan dari penelitian ini adalah untuk menganalisis dan mencari tahu apakah perbedaan pajak buku, tingkat hutang dan likuiditas memberikan pengaruh yang signifikan terhadap persistensi laba selama periode 2013- 2017. Populasi dalam penelitian ini adalah 16 perusahaan. Sampel penelitian melibatkan 6 perusahaan, yang ditentukan dengan metode purposive sampling. Penelitian ini bersifat kuantitatif, melibatkan SPSS 25 pada analisis datanya. Berdasarkan analisis data, hasilnya menunjukkan bahwa perbedaan pajak buku tidak mempengaruhi persistensi laba. Tingkat hutang memiliki pengaruh negatif yang signifikan terhadap persistensi laba. Temuan ini juga menunjukkan bahwa likuiditas berpengaruh negatif signifikan terhadap persistensi laba.

Kata Kunci: Pajak, Data, Perusahaan

Volume 4 (1), 2020

http://journal.uhamka.ac.id/index.php/agregat

p-ISSN: 2549-5658 e-ISSN: 2549-7243 DOI: 10.22236/agregat_vol4/is1pp1-7

Pp 1-7

INTRODUCTION

Financial statements are a form of corporate responsibility to internal and external parties in a certain period. Information in financial statements is very pivotal in making decisions, especially regarding the information on earnings quality. High-quality earnings show that investors are interested in earnings information (Molaei *et al.*, 2012). The quality of corporate profits is often associated with earnings persistence because earnings persistence is a component of the qualitative characteristics of relevance, namely, predictive value (Jonas and Blanchet, 2000). According to Scott (2015), earnings persistence is the expected revision of earnings in the future (expected future earnings), which is implied by the current year's earnings innovation. Earnings persistence can be seen from the profit innovation of the current year, which is associated with changes in stock prices.

The financial statements of a company, other than those intended for the benefit of shareholders, are also designed for tax purposes. There is a difference between accounting earnings and tax profits that can affect the quality of revenues in the financial statements presented. The difference between accounting profit and taxable income is usually referred to as book-tax differences. In PSAK 46, it is stated that accounting profit is net profit or loss for one period before deducting tax expense. In comparison, tax profit is profit (loss) for one period, which is calculated based on regulations stipulated by the Tax Authorities on income tax payable (repaid). Given the differences between accounting earnings and tax profits or called book-tax differences, such a gap can affect earnings persistence. Some previous research results concerned with tax differences on earnings persistence show different results compared to those as found by Wiem (2016), Antonio (2016), Tang (2012), Jackson (2009), Lev and Nissim (2004). These studies demonstrate that book-tax differences generate a positive influence on earnings persistence, while the results of research conducted by Hanlon (2005), Wijayanti (2006), Wiryandari and Yulianti (2009) contend that book-tax differences have a negative influence on earnings persistence.

Company's ability to earn profits cannot be separated from the source of capital. One source of corporate money is debt. According to Keshtavar *et al.* (2013), debt entitled to the company is related to the profits the company will get. The higher the company's liability is, the more dynamic the company will be. According to Subramanyam and Wild (2013), financial leverage is the use of debt to increase profits. Researches delving into the level of debt to **Zulpahmi**

Volume 4 (1), 2020

http://journal.uhamka.ac.id/index.php/agregat

p-ISSN: 2549-5658 e-ISSN: 2549-7243 DOI: 10.22236/agregat_vol4/is1pp1-7

Pp 1-7

earnings persistence carried out by Yanti (2017), Sabrina (2017), and Ghosh (2010) indicate that the level of debt has a positive effect on earnings persistence. The higher level of debt will increase the endurance of earnings. However, such a notion is different from the research conducted by Desra (2014), demonstrating that debt harms earnings persistence.

Another factor that is thought to be one of the determinants of earnings persistence is liquidity. Liquidity is the company's ability to fulfill its smooth obligations that will soon be due. High liquidity causes companies to disclose earnings information widely to external parties, which will illustrate good value for investors. Research on cash conducted by Kartika (2016) and Jang *et al.* (2007 indicates that liquidity has a positive effect on earnings quality. Unlike the study conducted by Gharezi and Zadeh (2013) that cash has a weak and negative relationship to earnings quality. What is more, Kadek's research (2014) points out that liquidity poses no impact on earnings quality.

METHOD

The number of companies registered in the Jakarta Islamic Index (JII) during the research accomplishment was 16 companies, of which six companies were involved. Purposive sampling is the determination of samples with particular consideration, which predetermines specific criteria over sample selection (Juliansyah Noor, 2011). In this regard, the criteria used were companies listed in the Jakarta Islamic Index (JII) in 2013-2017, and only those who had complete financial data for five consecutive years. The data collection method dealt with secondary data. According to Sugiyono (2014), secondary data is a source of research data obtained by researchers indirectly through intermediary media (collected and recorded by other parties). The variables in this study consisted of dependent variables and independent variables in this study was earnings persistence, and the independent variables in this study were book-tax differences (X1), debt levels (X2), and liquidity (X3).

The samples taken were companies listed in the Jakarta Islamic Index (JII) and generated profits. These companies also possessed the value of current assets more excellent than the amount of existing debt from 2013 through 2017. This study deployed multiple regression analysis.

Volume 4 (1), 2020

http://journal.uhamka.ac.id/index.php/agregat

p-ISSN: 2549-5658 e-ISSN: 2549-7243 DOI: 10.22236/agregat_vol4/is1pp1-7

Pp 1-7

RESULTS

Table 1 Coefficeient

Coefficients ^a					
	Unstandardized Coefficients		Standardized Coefficients		
Model	В	Std. Error	Beta	t	Sig.
(Constant)	.488	.066		6.802	.000
BOOKTAXDIFF	907	3.393	034	267	.791
Debt Levels	562	.096	-1.106	-5.871	.000
Liquidity	050	.160	1.191	-3.091	.005

Source: SPSS-generated data

From the results of the calculation involving multiple linear regression, the Book Tax Differences, Debt Levels, and Liquidity regression equation is obtained from the following formula:

$$\hat{Y} = 0.448 - 0.907 \text{ X} - 0.562 \text{ X} - 0.050 \text{ X}$$

- 1) Book Tax Differences (X1) has a t_{count} of -0.267> t_{table} of -2.055 and a significance value of 0.790> 0.050. Given this value, H0 is rejected, which signifies that, in partial fashion, book tax differences do not affect the persistence of earnings.
- 2) Debt Level (X2) has a t_{count} of -5,871 $< t_{table}$ of -2,055 and a significance value of 0,000 < 0.050. This implies that H2 is accepted, meaning that partially debt level variable has a negative and significant effect on earnings persistence.
- 3) Liquidity (X3) has a t_{count} of -3,091 $< t_{table}$ of -2,055 and a significance value of 0.005 < 0.050. As such, H3 is accepted, so it can be interpreted in partial fashion that liquidity variable poses a significant negative effect on earnings persistence.

Table 2 Coefficeient

ANOVA ^a				
Model	Sum of	Mean	F	Sig
	Squares	Square		

Volume 4 (1), 2020

http://journal.uhamka.ac.id/index.php/agregat

p-ISSN: 2549-5658 e-ISSN: 2549-7243 DOI: 10.22236/agregat_vol4/is1pp1-7

Pp 1-7

Regression	,070	,023	13	,00 0 ^b
			,2	O_p
			58	
Residual	,046	,002		
Total	,116			

As

a. Dependent Variable: EARNINGS_PERSISTENCE

table above,

by

shown

the f count is

the ANOVA

b. Predictors: (Constant), LIQUIDITY, BOOKTAXDIFF,
DEBT LEVELS Source: Output from SPSS 25.0

13.258 > f

 t_{table} (2.98) with significance value of 0.000 < 0.050. As such, this finding indicates that H₄ is approved, and by implication it evinces that Book tax differences, debt levels, and liquidity pose significant influence on earnings persistence.

Table 3 Model Summary^b

Mod el	R	R Square	3	Std. Error of the Estimate
1	.778a	.605	.559	.04193

Source: Output from SPSS 25.0

Based on the table above, with regard to the auto-correlation test, the value of Adjusted R Square (Adjusted R) is 0.559, which means that 55.9% of profit persistence is accounted for by book tax differences, the level of debt, and liquidity. By contrast, 44.1% of profit persistence is influenced by extraneous variables.

CONCLUSION

Partially, the book-tax differences variable (X1) did not pose a significant effect on earnings persistence. Debt levels (X2) somewhat had a negative and significant impact on earnings persistence. In the same vein, liquidity variable (X3) had a negative and significant effect on earnings persistence. Simultaneously, the book-tax differences, the level of debt, and liquidity pose a considerable impact on the persistence of earnings.

The present study suggests that the significant effect of Book tax differences (X1), debt level (X2) and liquidity (X3) on the persistence of earnings (Y) in companies listed in the Jakarta Islamic Index (JII) in the 2013-2017 period should generate positive impacts on the development of sharia shares.

REFERENCES

Volume 4 (1), 2020

http://journal.uhamka.ac.id/index.php/agregat

p-ISSN: 2549-5658 e-ISSN: 2549-7243 DOI: 10.22236/agregat_vol4/is1pp1-7

Pp 1-7

- Dira, Kadek. P., & Astika, Ida. B. P. (2014). Pengaruh Struktur Modal, Likuiditas, Pertumbuhan Laba, dan Ukuran Perusahaan pada Kualitas Laba. E-Jurnal Akuntansi, 64-78.
- Dridi, Wiem. Dan Boubaker Adel. (2016). Book Tax Differenes and The Persistence of Earnings and Accruals. Vol. 12, No. 6. 2016. Tunisia: Time Universite and Universite de Tunis El Manar.
- Gharezi, Davood and Zadeh, Mohammad Reza Abbas. (2013). The Relationship between Earnings Quality and Risk of Liquidity in Tehran Stock Exchange. Interdisciplinary Journal of Contemporary Research in Business, 5 (3), pp: 782-805.
- Ghosh, A., & Moon, D. (2010). Corporate debt financing and earnings quality. Journal of Business Finance & Accounting, 37(5-6), 538-559.
- Hanlon, M. (2005). The Persistence and Pricing of Earnings, Accruals, and Cash Flows When Firms Have Large Booktax Differences. The Accounting Review 80 (March).
- Jackson, Mark. (2009). Book Tax Differences and Earning Growth. Working Paper. University of Oregon
- Jang, Lesia; Sugiarto, Bambang; Siagian, Dergibson. (2007). Faktor-faktor yang Mempengaruhi Kualitas Laba pada Perusahaan Manufaktur di BEJ. Akuntabilitas, 6(2): h:142-149.
- Jonas, G. and J. Blanchet. (2000). Assessing Quality of Financial Reporting. Accounting Horizons.
- Keshtavar, Ali; Moeinaddin, Mahmoud; Dehnavi, Hasan Dehghan. (2013.) Need for Capital Management and Capital Structure in the World Today. International Journal of Modern Management Sciences, 2 (2): pp: 67-7
- Lev, B., and D. Nissim. (2004). Taxable Income, Future Earnings, and Equity Values. The Accounting Review, 79 (4), 1039-1074).
- Lopo, Antonio, Tatiana dan Danilo. (2016). Book Tax Differences, Earnings Persistence and Tax Planning Before and After the Adoption of IFRS In Brazil. Advances in Scientific and Applied Accounting. Vol. 9, No. 2, P. 162-180, 2016. Sao Paulo.
- Juliansyah, N. 2011. Metodologi penelitian: skripsi, tesis, disertasi dan karya ilmiah. Kencana Prenada Media Group Jakarta.

Volume 4 (1), 2020

http://journal.uhamka.ac.id/index.php/agregat

p-ISSN: 2549-5658 e-ISSN: 2549-7243 DOI: 10.22236/agregat_vol4/is1pp1-7

Pp 1-7

- Molaei, Iraj., Molaei, Daryush., Yari, Rasoul., and Aghabaki, Mehdi. (2012). The Investigation of Effecting Factors on Earnings Quality. Journal of Basic and Applied Scientific Research, 2(3), pp: 3077-3080.
- Putri, Sabrina. A. (2017). Pengaruh Aliran Kas Operasi, Book Tax Differences T, dan Tingkat Hutang Terhadap Persistensi Laba. Jurnal Riset Akuntansi Kontemporer (JRAK), 9(1).
- Scot, W. (2015) Financial Accounting Theory provides a thorough presentation of financial accounting theories. 7 Ed.Pearson.
- Subramanyan.K.R. dan J.J. Wild, (2013). Analisis Laporan Keuangan. Salemba Empat, Edisi 10.
- Sugiyono. (2014). Metode Penelitian (Pendekatan Kuantitatif, Kualitatif, dan R&D). Bandung: Alfabeta.
- Sulastri, Desra. A. (2014). Pengaruh Volatilitas Arus Kas, Volatilitas Penjualan, Besaran Akrual Dan Tingkat Hutang Terhadap Persistensi Laba (Studi Empiris pada Perusahaan Manufaktur yang Terdaftar di BEI Tahun 2009-2012). Jurnal Akuntansi, 2(2).
- Tang, T. Y., & Firth, M. (2012). Earnings persistence and stock market reactions to the different information in book-tax differences: Evidence from China. The International Journal of Accounting, 47(3), 369-397.
- Wijayanti, Handayani. (2006). Analisis Pengaruh Perbedaan Laba Akuntansi dan Laba Fiskal terhadap Persistensi Laba, Akrual dan Arus Kas. Simposium Nasional Akuntansi 9. Padang
- Wiryandari, S.A., & Yulianti. (2009). Hubungan perbedaan laba akuntansi dan laba pajak dengan perilaku manajemen laba dan persistensi laba. Pa-per dipresentasikan pada acara Simposium Nasional Akuntansi XII, Palembang.
- Yanti, Y. (2017). The Effects of Operating Cash Flow, Sales Volatility, and Leverage on Earnings' Persistence. International Journal of Economic Perspectives, Vol. 11, Issue 1,1535-1544.